



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/033,225	10/25/2001	Jeffrey G. Wiley	10016469-1	8739		
75	90 08/09/2005	EXAMINER				
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration			ЕНІСНІОУ	EHICHIOYA, FRED I		
P.O. Box 27240		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Fort Collins, C	O 80527-2400	•	2162			
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Application No. Application No.	<u> </u>					
Examiner Fred I. Ethichioya The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fitted after 50 (b) MONTHS from the analog date of this communication, which is stationy minimum of bitty (20) stays will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory prointed will supply and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply while the set of centeded period for sprival by abandus, capital the application for section of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory prointed will supply and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply while the set of centeded period for sprival by abandus, capital the application decreased period for sprival by abandus, capital the application, even if timely fitted, may reduce any senter puter time adjustment. Set 97 CFR 1.74(4): Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) fitled on 21 April 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.4 - 12. 14 - 27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6b) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6c) Claim(s) is/are	7		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edentions of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.18(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Failure is reply within the set or extended priod for reply will be the studienty minimum of thirty (30) days will be condisered limely. I NO period for reply is specificated bows, the maximum studiory period will apply and will explicate IX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Failure is reply within the set or extended period for reply will by statute, easies the application to become ARANDONEO (33 U.S.C.§ 133). Any reply recent by the Office late than these months after the malling date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any exerced patient term supertinent. See 37 CFR 1.78(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 April 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)				33,225	WILEY, JEFFREY	G.
Preiod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edenations of time may be available under the proxime of 37 CPR 1.18(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 58 (c) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. He period for reply specified above is less than the (f) (d) days, a reply veith in the about or printing of thirty (30) days, a reply be timely filed after 58 (c) MONTHS from the making date or lists communication. Failure to reply veith the time of the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication. Failure to reply veith the set or extended period for reply veit) by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three maints after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any searned patent term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b). Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 21 April 2005. 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This action is non-final. 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ■ Claim(s) 1. 4 - 12. 14 - 27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ■ Claim(s) 1. 4 - 12. 14 - 27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ■ Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. The priority is are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ■ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ■ accepted or b) ■ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12(d). 11) ■ The oath or declar		Office Action Summary	Exam	iner	Art Unit	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed extensions of time may be available under the provisions of timely. If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estimations of time may be variable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maintain thin (20) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maintainen statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maintainen statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, aven if timely filed, may reduce any searced patient ferm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 April 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)			munication appears or	the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ress
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 April 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1, 4 - 12, 14 - 27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) are subjected to. 8 Claim(s) are subjected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2. Cepies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM sions of time may be available under the provisity (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this period for reply specified above is less than the period for reply is specified above, the maximum to to reply within the set or extended period for eply received by the Office later than three more	UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In a communication. irty (30) days, a reply within thu m statutory period will apply a reply will, by statute, cause th nths after the mailing date of th	no event, however, may e statutory minimum of t and will expire SIX (6) Mi e application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this cor ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 4-12, 14-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status		•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1, 4 - 12, 14 - 27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 4 - 12, 14 - 27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s)) filed on <u>21 April 200</u>	<u>15</u> .		
Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 4 - 12, 14 - 27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action	is non-final.		
A) Claim(s) 1, 4 - 12, 14 - 27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	3)	Since this application is in condi-	tion for allowance exc	cept for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the	merits is
4) Claim(s) 1, 4 - 12, 14 - 27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 4 - 12, 14 - 27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		closed in accordance with the pr	actice under Ex parte	Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Dispositi	on of Claims				
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1, 4 - 12, 14 - 27</u> is/are	pending in the applic	ation.		
6) Claim(s) 1, 4 - 12, 14 - 27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	•	4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from	n consideration.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1, 4 - 12, 14 - 27</u> is/are	rejected.			•
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to	0.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	8)[Claim(s) are subject to re	striction and/or election	on requirement.		
 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	Application	on Papers		•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to b	y the Examiner.	•	·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	10) 🔲 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/	are: a)∏ accepted o	r b) 🗌 objected t	o by the Examiner.	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		Applicant may not request that any o	objection to the drawing	(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu	ding the correction is re	quired if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	11)	The oath or declaration is objected	ed to by the Examiner	. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTC	D-152.
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	_			under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	,-	_ ′ ′ ′ ′ ′ ′ ′ ′ ′ ′ ′ ′ ′ ′ ′ ′ ′ ′ ′			• .	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		-	- ·			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		_			· ·	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		'	, ,		en received in this National S	stage
	* \$	• •	•	. ,,	at roppiyad	
Address and (a)	3	ee the attached detailed Office a	out of a list of the (serunea copies no	n received.	
AHACHMENT(S)	Attachment	(e)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	_			4) T Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie	,	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:			9 or PTO/SB/08)	· · · · · ·		152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Action Summary Part of Paper No./Mail Date 07072005	S. Patent and Tra	demark Office	Office Action Sur			e 07072005

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The Office Action mailed July 13, 2005 is hereby withdrawn.
- 2. Claims 1, 4 12 and 14 27 are pending in this Office Action.
- 3. Applicant's request for the withdrawal of Claims Rejections under 35 U.S.C 112 is persuasive, Therefore, Claims Rejections under 35 U.S.C 112 is hereby withdrawn.

However, Applicant fails to remedy the issues of Claims Rejections under 35 U.S.C 101 raised during the interview with Mark D. Trenner on April 11, 2005.

Therefore, claims 1 and 12 are still non-statutory.

Response to Arguments/Remarks

- 4. Applicant argues:
- (a) "There is not teaching of a user-specified remote storage device. Nor is there any teaching of accessing an electronic document at a user-specified remote storage device and sending the electronic document from multifunction device (page 9, paragraph 1).
- (b) The cited references fail to teach or suggest at least "combining said documents in electronic format with said electronic document from said user-specified remote storage device". (Page 12, paragraph 5).
- (c) Citations do not teach or suggest at least "identifying a remote storage device having a use-requested document based at least in part on a path for said remote storage device specified by a user at said configured multifunction device" (page 14, paragraph 2).

Art Unit: 2162

In response to argument (a): Examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant.

Czyszczewski teaches a user-specified remote storage device as shown in column 3, lines 11 –20).

accessing an electronic document at a user-specified remote storage device (see column 3, lines 14 – 17) and

sending the electronic document from multifunction device (see column 7, lines 19-23).

In response to argument (b): Examiner respectfully states that Czyszczewski teaches combining said documents in electronic format with said electronic document from said user-specified remote storage device as shown in column 6, lines 53 – 59 and column 10, lines 59 – 60).

In response to argument (c): Examiner respectfully states that Czyszczewski teaches identifying a remote storage device having a use-requested document based at least in part on a path for said remote storage device specified by a user at said configured multifunction device as shown in column 3, lines 11 – 17 and column 9, line 60 – column 10, line 3).

5. In view of the above, the examiner contends that all limitations as recited in the claims have been addressed in this Office Action. For the above reasons, Examiner believed that the rejection of the last Office Action was proper.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

MPEP 2106 IV.B.2.(b)

A claim that requires one or more acts to be performed defines a process. However, not all processes are statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101. Schrader, 22 F.3d at 296, 30 USPQ2d at 1460. To be statutory, a claimed computer-related process must either: (A) result in a physical transformation outside the computer for which a practical application in the technological arts is either disclosed in the specification or would have been known to a skilled artisan, or (B) be limited to a practical application within the technological arts.

MPEP 2106.II.A

A process that consists solely of the manipulation of an abstract idea is not concrete or tangible. See In re Warmerdam, 33 F.3d 1354, 1360, 31 USPQ2d 1754, 1759 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Claims 1 and 12 in view of the above cited MPEP sections, are not statutory because they merely recite a number of computing steps without producing any tangible result and/or being limited to a practical application within the technological arts. The use of a computer has not been indicated.

These claims do not indicate use of hardware on which the software runs to perform the steps recited in the body of the claim. Software or program can be stored on a medium and/or executed by a computer. In other words the software must be computer-readable. The use of a computer is not evident in the claim.

Art Unit: 2162

MPEP 2106.IV.B.1(a) refers to "computer-readable" medium with computer program encoded on it."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1, 4 – 12, and 14 - 27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S Patent 6,577,907 issued to Joseph Stanley Czyszczewski et al. (hereinafter "Czyszczewski").

Regarding claim 1, Czyszczewski teaches a method for providing access from a multifunction device to an electronic document at a user-specified remote storage device (see column 6, lines 53 – 57 and column 7, lines 12 – 16: Czyszczewski discloses accessing multifunction device and documents in electronic format; see also Abstract), comprising:

identifying said user-specified remote storage device having said electronic document based at least in part on a path thereto specified by a user at said

Art Unit: 2162

multifunction device (see column 3, lines 11 – 17: Czyszczewski discloses remote storage or databases that implements the current invention).

establishing a link between said multifunction device and a user-specified remote storage device having said electronic document (see Fig. 3 and column 3, lines 15 – 20: the connection between the multifunction device and the remote data-store or databases is through common networking interfaces such as Internet or LAN); and

accessing said electronic document at said user-specified remote storage device from said multifunction device over said link established therebetween (see column 3, lines 50 – 51 and column 6, lines 55 – 56: Czyszczewski disclose accessing remote datastores and the datastores contain among other,, the electronic document); and

sending said electronic document from said multifunction device (see column 7,

lines 19 - 23: the user operates the multifunction device to send the document to the selected destination).

Regarding claim 4, Czyszczewski teaches identifying said user-specified remote storage device is based at least in part on a user profile (see column 3, lines 50 – 55).

Regarding claim 5, Czyszczewski teaches converting a document to electronic format at said multifunction device (see column 6, lines 53 - 59); and

combining said document in electronic format with said electronic document from said user-specified remote storage device (see column 10, lines 59 – 60).

Art Unit: 2162

Regarding claim 6, Czyszczewski teaches combining said electronic document from said user-specified remote storage device with an electronic document generated at said multifunction device (see column 10, lines 48 - 609); and

sending said combined electronic documents from said multifunction device to a network destination (see column 7, lines 62 – 65 and column 12, lines 25 – 27).

Regarding claim 7, Czyszczewski teaches accessing an address book operatively associated with said user-specified remote storage device (see column 12, lines 8 – 14).

Regarding claim 8, Czyszczewski teaches identifying a network destination for an electronic document generated at said multifunction device based on data from said address book (see column 14, lines 14 – 20).

Regarding claim 9, Czyszczewski teaches editing an entry in said address book operatively associated with said user-specified remote storage device from said multifunction device (see column 10, 59 - 60).

Regarding claim 10, Czyszczewski teaches configuring said multifunction device before identifying said user-specified remote storage device (see column 3, lines 56 – 60).

Art Unit: 2162

Regarding claims 11 and 20, Czyszczewski teaches displaying at least a portion of electronic document from said user-specified remote storage device at said multifunction device (see column 10, lines 21 - 24).

Regarding claim 12, Czyszczewski teaches a method for accessing user-requested data from a configured multifunction device (column 7, lines 12 – 16: Czyszczewski discloses accessing multifunction device and documents in electronic format; see also Abstract), comprising:

identifying a remote storage device having a user-requested document based at least in part on a path for said remote storage device specified by a user at said configured multifunction device (see column 3, lines 11 – 17: Czyszczewski discloses remote storage or databases that implements the current invention).

retrieving said user-requested document from said configured multifunction device (see column 10, lines 21 – 27: (see column 3, lines 11 – 17: Czyszczewski discloses retreving documents from remote storage using multifunction device).

Regarding claim 14, Czyszczewski teaches identifying said remote storage device is based at least in part on a user profile (see column 3, lines 50 – 55).

Regarding claim 15, Czyszczewski teaches converting a document to electronic format at said configured multifunction device (see column 6, lines 53 - 59); and

Art Unit: 2162

combining said document in electronic format with said retrieved user-requested document (see column 10, lines 50 - 60).

Regarding claim 16, Czyszczewski teaches combining said retrieved userrequested document with an electronic document generated at said configured multifunction device (see column 10, lines 48 - 60); and

sending said combined electronic document and retrieved user-requested document from said configured multifunction device to a network destination (see column 7, lines 62 – 65 and column 12, lines 25 - 27).

Regarding claim 17, Czyszczewski teaches retrieving user-requested data from an address book operatively associated with said remote storage device (see column 12, lines 8 – 14).

Regarding claim 18, Czyszczewski teaches identifying a network destination for an electronic document generated at said configured multifunction device based on said user-requested data retrieved from said address book (see column 14, lines 14 – 20).

Regarding claim 19, Czyszczewski teaches editing an entry in said address book operatively associated with said remote storage device from said multifunction device (see column 10, lines 59 - 60).

Regarding claim 21, Czyszczewski teaches a multifunction device comprising: computer-readable media operatively associated with said multifunction device and having computer-readable program code thereon including program code for identifying data operatively associated with a user-specified remote storage device (see column 9, line 60 – column 10, line 3); and

program code for accessing said data operatively associated with said user-specified remote storage device from said multifunction device (see column 10, lines 21 - 26).

Regarding claim 22, Czyszczewski teaches said data is an address book (see column 12, lines 8 – 14).

Regarding claim 23, Czyszczewski teaches program code for retrieving an entry from said address book, said entry identifying a network destination (see column 12, lines 8 – 23);

program code for associating said entry from said address book with an electronic document at said multifunction device (see column 7, lines 14 – 26); and program code for sending said electronic document to said network destination identified by said entry from said address book (see column 7, lines 16 – 22).

Regarding claim 24, Czyszczewski teaches said data is a document in electronic format (see column 2, lines 65 – 67).

Art Unit: 2162

Regarding claim 25, Czyszczewski teaches program code for sending a document in electronic format from said multifunction device to a network destination (see column 3, lines 1 – 7 and column 7, lines 12 – 25).

Regarding claim 26, Czyszczewski teaches program code for combining a document in electronic format with a document image at said multifunction device (see column 9, lines 3-7);

program code for sending said combination of said document in electronic format and said document image from said multifunction device to a network destination (see column 5, lines 30 - 40).

Regarding claim 27, Czyszczewski teaches said user-specified remote device is another multifunction device (see column 7, lines 26 – 40).

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2162

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred I. Ehichioya whose telephone number is 571-272-4034. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Breene can be reached on 571-272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fred I. Ehichioya Patent Examiner Art Unit 2162

August 4, 2005

SHAHID ALAM PRIMARY EXAMINER